

Most drastic impact of sand extraction when a high and continuous extraction coincides with a varying nature in local geological layers and sediment types









Wyns et al. (2021). Near-field changes in the seabed and associated microbenthic communities due to marine aggregate extraction on tidal sandbanks: A spatially explicit bio-physical approach considering geological context and extraction regimes. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2021.104546



Relative scale fine sediment smooth interface -25 22.5

rough interface







Implementation of maximum extraction limit or reference surface for each extraction area based on resource thickness, preserving sand bank structure and sediment characteristic criteria







Recovery dynamics after intensive sand extraction

Studiedag Zand 18 October 2024

Annelies De Backer Lucia LopezLopez Koen Degrendele Marc Roche Florian Barette





In preparation: Lopez Lopez L., Barette F., Degrendele K., Francken F., Roche M, Terseleer N., Vandeneynde D., Van Lancker V., De Backer A. Evolution of macrobenthos and morpho-sedimentary dynamics in areas closed for aggregate extraction.







Why?

- Insights are important to evaluate whether an impact is reversible and whether the marine ecosystem can recover to predredged or reference conditions
- Definition of recovery:
 - Physical recovery = sediment composition and seabed morphology is simitareither predredge conditions or local reference sites and dredge tracks and furrows are no longer detectable by imaging techniques (Boyd et al. 2004)
 - \circ Biological recovery = establishment of a community that is virtually indistinguishable from surrounding, non-impacted reference sites (Cooper et al 2005)
- MSFD policy driver disturbance versus loss
- Provide insights in potential active restoration processes





Study areas and extraction history



Sampling methodology

MBES derived bathymetry and calibrated backscatter

Grab sample derived sediment and macrobenthos

Buiten Ratel study design

Sand extraction zone Study area TB_WEST TB_CENTER Samples locations △ Reference (REF) Impact (IMP) Closed area for sand extraction at the start of the considered time period MBES focus area

Extracted volume

within the considered

time period (m³/ha)

20.000

BR impact - Bathymetry & seabed morphology

BR impact – Backscatter

- After cessation of extraction, BS levels decreasepto 2020, stabilizing afterwards ~sediment fining and decrease in rugosity
- The BS level is closely correlated with the % sediment >1600µm.
- BS in years 7 and 8 reflects more natural small-scale morphology

ILVC

BR – Sediment evolution

Ο

BRNRON 24/9/14

-1

REF

Ο

8

BR-Benthic community

- Colonisation of opportunisitic species immediately after extraction
- Gradual recovery of benthic community to reference conditions after 4 to 8 years

TB WEST study design

Legend Extracted volume Bathmetry within the considered (all frames, m) time period (m³/ha) 20.000 0 -50 Sand extraction zone Study area BR TB_WEST TB CENTER Samples locations △ Reference (REF) • Impact (IMP) Closed area for sand extraction at the start of the considered time period MBES focus area

TB West – Bathymetry & seabed morphology

- Bathymetry stable after closure- no infilling of depression that was created during extraction
- Deep dredging furrows start to fill in immediately, and dredging marks are less apparent after 3 years
- No recovery yet of small and large scale morphology

TB WEST- Backscatter

- BS levels decreased from 3/-14 dB during extraction to -17 dB three years after, indicating fining of sediments.
- Correlates with a drop in fraction >1600µm and 500-1000 μm

TB WEST - sediment

IMP

REF

1

Fraction

> 1600 um
1000-1600 um
500-1000 um
250-500 um
125-250 um
63-125 um
0-63 um

- In impact area, relative decrease in >160µm and 500-1000 µm and increase in fine fractions (0-125 µm)
- Sediment of reference locations stable over time

1

2

TB WEST

- Shift of community immediately after stop of extraction due to colonisation of opportunists
- No recovery of benthic community yet to reference conditions

Conclusion

- Bathymetry does not recover after extraction ~ no infill of depressions Confirms earlier results on Kwintebank Ο
- Buiten Ratel has largely recovered after 4 to 8 years both physically and biologically:
 - Gradual changes in sediments (homogenisation and fining) resembling reference conditions Ο likely the result of a local redistribution of sediments
 - Large-scale sand waves have not recovered (yet?), but small-scale sand ripples reappear Ο indicating that natural dynamics are at play
 - Benthic community gradually shifted to reflect reference conditions i.e. Nephtyscirrosa Ο community
- Thorntonbank did not (yet?) recover after 3 years, but first trends indicate similar processes as on BR:
 - Fining and homogenization of sediments driving the immediate shift in benthic community Ο
 - Smoothing out of dredging furrows but for the moment no reappearance of smallscale Ο morphology
 - Longer follow-up in time needed to see further evolution Ο
 - Secondary impact of nearby extraction? Ο

Hypothesis on seabed recovery process

- Results indicate that at both areas, a similar process regarding sedimeretorganisation is at play
- Hide exposure mechanism could aid recovery process:

- Local hydrodynamics and depth are probably key in the success of this process
- Further research e.g. in areas without screening or with different hydrodynamic conditions is needed to confirm or reject this hypothesis

ILVC

THANK YOU!

Special thanks to: BELSPOand RBINS (OD Nature) for ship time on RV Belgica crew of RV Belgica VLIZ and DAB Vloot for ship time on RV Simon Stevin, crew of RV Simon Stevin, Hans Hillewaert for pictures, ILVO colleagues for MSORBINS for analysing the samples, managementof EMSdata

Contact:

annelies.debacker@lvo.vlaanderen.be

ILVO marine Flanders Research Institute for Agriculture, Fisheries and Food Jacobsenstraat 1 8400 Oostende – Belgium T + 32 (0)59 56 98 75

www.ilvo.vlaanderen.be

